

HOGAN & HARTSON

RECEIVED
SEP 2 9 1998

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

COLUMBIA SQUARE \$55 THIRTEENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1109 TEL (202) 637-5600

FAX (202) 637-5910

DAVID L. SIERADZKI
COUNSEL
DIRECT DIAL (202) 637-6462
INTERNET DS0@DC2.HHLAW.COM

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

September 29, 1998

Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 94-45:/

Western Wireless Corp. Petition for Preemption, Pursuant to Section 253 of the Communications Act, of Kansas Statutes and Rules that Discriminate Against New Entrants, File No. CWD 98-90;

Amendment of the Commission's Rules To Permit Flexible Service Offerings in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services, WT Docket No. 96-6

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing on behalf of Western Wireless Corp. ("Western Wireless") to inform you of an ex parte presentation in the proceedings referred to above. Gene DeJordy, Executive Director of Regulatory Affairs, Western Wireless, and Michele Farquhar, Ronnie London, and I, counsel for Western Wireless, met yesterday afternoon with Walter Strack and Elizabeth Lyle of the Office of the Bureau Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau ("WTB"); David Krech, Pieter van Leeuwen, and Tejal Mehta of the Commercial Wireless Division, WTB; Cindi Schieber, Ben Freeman, Anne Napoli, and Julie Buchanan of the Auctions & Industry Analysis Division, WTB; Mark Nadel of the Accounting Policy Division, Common Carrier Bureau; and Eric Jensen, Deputy Director, Office of Communications Business Opportunities. The issues we discussed are summarized in the attached documents, which we used as handouts during the presentation.

No. of Copies rec'd Oto

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

Magalie Roman Salas September 29, 1998 Page 2

Because the meeting took place late in the afternoon, I am filing this notice on the following business day. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

David Kieradyki

David L. Sieradzki

Counsel for Western Wireless Corp.

Enclosures

cc: Walter Strack

Elizabeth Lyle

David Krech

Pieter van Leeuwen

Tejal Mehta

Cindi Schieber

Ben Freeman

Anne Napoli

Julie Buchanan

Mark Nadel

Eric Jensen

Western Wireless Corporation Presentation on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96-45) and Related Matters

- 1. Universal Service Proceeding (CC Docket No. 96-45)
 - a) Overall Policy Position
 - i) Consumer Sovereignty
 - A) Consumers should have the right to obtain supported mobile as well as stationary services, from providers using wireless as well as wireline technologies.
 - ii) Competitive and Technological Neutrality
 - A) There must be parity between the revenue support available to all Eligible Telecom Carriers ("ETCs").
 - B) Until implicit subsidies to ILECs are eliminated, wireless carriers and other new entrants should have access to the same *implicit*, as well as *explicit*, subsidies as ILECs.
 - b) State ETC Certification Process
 - c) Eligibility of New Entrant ETCs to Receive Explicit Universal Service Support
 - d) HAI Wireless Cost Model and the Cost Model Decisionmaking Process
 - e) Rural Task Force
- 2. Kansas Preemption Proceeding (File No. CWD 98-90)
 - a) The Kansas Fund Is A Barrier To Entry (Section 253(a))
 - b) The Kansas Fund Is Neither Competitively Neutral Nor Targeted To Promote Universal Service (Section 253(b))
- 3. Wireless Local Loop
 - a) Western Wireless' Vision
 - b) Recommendations for Pending Proceeding (WT Docket No. 96-6)

STATUS REPORT

Western Wireless Corporation State ETC Petitions Filed

As of September 28, 1998:

•	Colorado	August 28, 1998
•	Kansas	August 31, 1998
•	Minnesota	August 31, 1998
•	Montana	August 25, 1998
•	North Dakota	August 25, 1998
•	Nebraska	August 31, 1998
•	New Mexico	August 31, 1998
•	Nevada	August 31, 1998
•	Oklahoma	August 28, 1998
•	South Dakota	August 25, 1998
•	Texas	August 31, 1998
•	Utah	August 31, 1998
•	Wyoming	August 31, 1998

Total: 13 State ETC Petitions Filed

WW also plans to file ETC petitions in California, Iowa, Idaho, and Missouri